Document 1

Page 1 of 4

1

Filed 03/27/2008

Case 5:08-cv-01664-RMW

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- 2. The jurisdiction of this Court is predicated on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 ans 1343.
- At all relevant times mentioned herein, Plaintiff KENNETH RAY LAW 3. (hereinafter "Plaintiff") was a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of San Jose, California.
- 4. Defendant City of San Jose is, and at all times mentioned in this complaint was, a city in the state of California, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with the capacity to sue and be sued.
- Defendant County of Santa Clara is, and at all times mentioned in this 5. complaint was, a county in the state of California, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with the capacity to sue and be sued.
- Defendant Officer Ardizzone was at all relevant times herein an individual, 6. employed as a San Jose police officer for the Defendant, City of San Jose. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant Officer Ardizzone, was acting within the course and scope of his employment for Defendant City of San Jose. The full name of Defendant Officer Ardizzone is unknown to plaintiff at this time, but his complete name will be added or amended to this complaint at a later date when it is ascertained by plaintiff. On information and belief, Officer Ardizzone was a resident of San Jose and/or Santa Clara County. Defendant Officer Ardizzone is sued in his individual capacity.
- A substantial part of the events giving rise to this action occurred in Santa 7. Clara County, California. Venue is therefore proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
- Defendants were, at all times mentioned in this complaint, acting under 8. color of state law.
- 9. On or about March 27, 2006, at approximately 11:00 pm, on Ocollo Avenue in San Jose, California, plaintiff was falsely arrested by Defendant Officer Ardizzone for alleged grand theft and/or theft by false pretenses. The arrest was intentionally made by Defendants without probable cause and based upon no evidence of a crime that plaintiff did not commit. Plaintiff was held against his will by Officer

Ardizzone and then eventually taken to jail where he was falsely imprisoned at the Elmwood Jail located at 701 South Abel, Milpitas, California, which is operated by Defendant County of Santa Clara. Plaintiff was falsely imprisoned for over 7 months in jail until October 31, 2006, when he was released from jail after a judge ordered that the charges against plaintiff be dismissed for lack of any evidence of a crime.

- 10. Plaintiff's federal constitutional and statutory rights for due process and equal protection under the United States Constitution Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments were violated by defendants who maliciously and intentionally showed no regard for plaintiff's rights.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' actions, described in this complaint, plaintiff has suffered both physical and mental injury to his mind and body necessitating medical treatment and causing him to incur medical expenses and lost time from work. Plaintiff has lost income, his job, his home and relationships due to the unlawful conduct of Defendants.
- 12. In acting as is alleged in this complaint, defendant Officer Ardizzone acted knowingly, willfully, and maliciously, and with reckless and callous disregard for plaintiff's federally protected rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury and prays for judgment against 1 Defendants, and each of them as follows: 2 3 For all compensatory damages against Defendants in an amount to be 1. determined according to proof at trial; 4 5 2. For all reasonable attorneys fees; For punitive damages to set an example and teach Defendant Officer 6 3. Ardizzone a lesson for engaging in said malicious and intentional conduct, and 7 8 4. For the costs of suit herein. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper. 5. 9 10 11 Respectfully submitted, Dated: March 26, 2008 12 LAW OFFICES OF IRA LESHIN 13 14 15 Ira Leshin Attorneys for Plaintiff, 16 **KENNETH RAY LAW** 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28